	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability			
	09/708,154 Examiner	OWEN ET AL. Art Unit	
	Michael B. Holmes	2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT I of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	S (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in 5) or other appropriate commu RIGHTS. This application is si	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. TH	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>July 21, 2004</u> .			
2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-7,9-12,14,16-20,22-25 and</u>	<u>27</u> .	•	•
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examin	er.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents and International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	ve been received. ve been received in Application	n No	ne
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subinformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives	mitted. Note the attached EXA ves reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.	
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mutering (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspe 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date <u>July</u> (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examine Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in 	rson's Patent Drawing Review 03, 2003. r's Amendment / Comment or 1.84(c)) should be written on th	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not the back) of	
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the dep attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			. •
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. Interview Su Paper No./I /08), 7. Examiner's /	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 09/708,154

Art Unit: 2121



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspto.gov

Examiner's Detailed Office Action

- 1. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14, 16-20, 22-25, & 27 are allowed.
- 2. Claims 8, 13, 15, 21, and 26 have been canceled.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 3. The following is an Examiner's statement for reasons for allowance:

 The closest prior art *Michael J. Bender & Slobodan P. Simonovic*, (hereinafter "*Becker et al.*")

 "A System Approach for Collaborative Decision Support in Water Resources Planning" IEEE,

 1996, and *Steve Glickman*, "Interpreting Business Assessment Results" June 8, 1998, does not teach or render obvious applicant's claimed invention.
- 4. Specifically, a computer-implemented method which may be utilized for implementing the four steps (framing, alternatives, analysis, connection) proposed in the Dialogue Decision Process (DDP), in different environments in a universal manner.
- 5. With regards to claim 1, Bender et al. & Glickman, does not disclose "...step (k) wherein an application interface provides an interface between the application and the collaborative

Art Unit: 2121

decision platform, where step (b) retrieving information front a database in accordance with the decision logic; step (c) receiving information from a user in accordance with the decision logic utilizing a user interface; and step (d) processing the information utilizing the decision logic; are carried out using universal modules capable of interfacing with different applications adapted for applying the universal modules to different business sectors"

- 6. With regards to claim 14, Bender et al. & Glickman, does not disclose "... step (k) wherein an application interface provides an interface between the application and the collaborative decision platform, where computer code segments of step (b) computer code for retrieving information from a database in accordance with the decision logic; step (c) computer code for receiving information from a user in accordance with the decision logic utilizing a user interface; and step (d) computer code for processing the information utilizing the decision logic; are carried out using universal modules capable of interfacing with different applications adapted for applying the universal modules to different business sectors"
- 7. With regards to claim 27, Bender et al. & Glickman, does not disclose "... step (k) wherein an application interface provides an interface between the application and the collaborative decision platform. where logic elements of step (b) logic for retrieving information from a database in accordance with the decision logic; step (c) logic for receiving information from a user in accordance with the decision logic utilizing a user interface; and step (d) logic for processing the information utilizing the decision logic; are carried out using universal modules capable of interfacing with different applications adapted for applying the universal modules to different business sectors; wherein the collaborative decision platform communicates with the application through a standard interface protocol"

Art Unit: 2121

Correspondence Information

8. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Holmes who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6280. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est. If you need to contact the Examiner, regarding After Final concerns, please send it to (703) 746-7238. If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 746-7240. If you need to send a Non-Official or Draft facsimile transmission, please send it to (703) 746-7239.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Anthony Knight, may be reached at (703) 308-3179.

Any response to this office action should be mailed too:

Director of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231, or Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist, located on the fourth floor of Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia.

Michael B. Holmes

Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence
Art Unit 2121

United States Department of Commerce Patent & Trademark Office Anthony Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600